

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/582,952	09/	05/2000	George R. Pettit	5367-US	4536
7.	590	11/15/2001			
Richard R Mybeck Suite 10 8010 East Morgan Trail				EXAMINER	
				SHAVER, PAUL F	
Scottsdale, AZ 85258			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1621	
				DATE MAILED: 11/15/2001	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application N .	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/582,952	Pettit et al.
		Examin r	Art Unit
		Paul F. Shaver	1621
ridfo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover shet w	ith the correspond nce address
A SH	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP	LY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S) FROM
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTe, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. I HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disp siti	on of Claims		
4)🛛	Claim(s) $1-5$ is/are pending in the application	٦.	
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.	
5)🛛	Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are allowed.		
6)🛛	Claim(s) 3-5 is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.	
Applicati	on Papers		
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	•	
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on		disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in	• •	
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner.	
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:		
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume		
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume		
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the pr application from the International E See the attached detailed Office action for a list	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	-	
a	The translation of the foreign language packnowledgment is made of a claim for dome	provisional application has b	een received.
Attachmen			
1) Notice 2) Notice	ce of Ref rences Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revi w (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap r No(s	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 09/582,952	Page 2
Art Unit: 1621	

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 3 to 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cushman et al. or Petitt et al. Cushman et al. discloses phenstatin compounds and derivatives similar to those instantly claimed and also teaches their use as anti-cancer drugs(see columns 19-20). Petitt et al. teaches the use of similar compounds for the inhibition of cell growth and tubulin

Application/Control Number:	Page 3
09/582,952	
Art Unit: 1621	

polymerization. One of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to employ the instant compounds as anti-cancer drugs in view of the teachings of either reference; further, the instant compounds are fairly suggested by either and both references.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul F. Shaver whose telephone number is (703) 308-4629. The Examiner's normal tour of duty is Monday to Friday, 5:30 AM to 2:00 PM. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235. The Examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter, may be reached at (703) 308-4532.

A facsimile center has been established in Group 1200, Room 3C10. The hours of operation are Monday through Friday, 8:45 AM to 4:45 PM. The telecopier number for accessing the facsimile machine is (703) 308-4556.

Paul F. Shaver Primary Examiner

Soul F. Show

Group1600-Art Unit 1621

PFShaver November 2, 2001